UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/723,165 | 11/26/2003 | Yasuhiko Uchida | ITECP006 | 8300 |
| | 7590 01/15/200 NILLA & GENCAREI | EXAMINER | | |
| 710 LAKEWA | | RUDOLPH, VINCENT M | | |
| SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/15/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/723,165 | UCHIDA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | , J | |

| | Vincent Rudolph | 2625 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>08 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriat | n. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date | nally set in the final Offic | e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment of the proposed after the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a filed after a filed amendment(s) filed after a filed | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje | E below); ducing or simplifying th | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Con | | ŕ |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7,9-21,24-26,35-39,42-54 and 57-55. | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will rided below or appended. | - | - |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | t hoforo or on the date of filling a Ne | atice of Appeal will not | he entered |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. | P10/58/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added claim limitations "wherein the multiple print services include an enlargement printing service..." found in independent claims 1 and 12 raises new issues that would require further consideration and searching.

Continuation of 11. because: it relies on the newly added limitations, not being entered, and the claimed limitations of the final rejected claims are still met by the prior art of record.